

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARLON L. ROBERSON,

Petitioner,

v.

S. PEERY,

Respondent.

No. 2:22-cv-00519-DAD-DB (HC)

ORDER RELATING AND REASSIGNING  
CASE

MARLON ROBERSON,

Petitioner,

v.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Respondents.

No. 2:22-cv-01557-DAD-JDP (HC)

**New Case No. 2:22-cv-01557-DAD-DB (HC)**


An examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Accordingly, assignment of the above-captioned actions to the same district judge and magistrate judge will promote substantial efficiency and economy for the court and is likely to be convenient for the parties.

//////

1 An order relating cases under this court's Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same  
2 district judge and magistrate judge—it does not consolidate the cases. The local rules of this  
3 district authorize the judge with the lowest numbered case to order the reassignment of any higher  
4 numbered cases to himself or herself, upon determining that this assignment is likely to effect a  
5 savings of judicial effort. L.R. 123(c). Such good cause appearing here, the court orders that  
6 Case No. 2:22-cv-01557-DAD-JDP (HC) is reassigned to Magistrate Judge Deborah Barnes. The  
7 caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as: 2:22-cv-01557-DAD-DB  
8 (HC). It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the  
9 assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: November 2, 2023

  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28